

Regence

Medical Policy Manual

Surgery, Policy No. 159

Vertical Expandable Prosthetic Titanium Rib

Effective: September 1, 2024

Next Review: May 2025

Last Review: July 2024

IMPORTANT REMINDER

Medical Policies are developed to provide guidance for members and providers regarding coverage in accordance with contract terms. Benefit determinations are based in all cases on the applicable contract language. To the extent there may be any conflict between the Medical Policy and contract language, the contract language takes precedence.

PLEASE NOTE: Contracts exclude from coverage, among other things, services or procedures that are considered investigational or cosmetic. Providers may bill members for services or procedures that are considered investigational or cosmetic. Providers are encouraged to inform members before rendering such services that the members are likely to be financially responsible for the cost of these services.

DESCRIPTION

The vertical expandable prosthetic titanium rib (VEPTR) is a curved rod placed horizontally in the chest that helps to shape the thoracic cavity in children with spinal and thoracic deformities.

MEDICAL POLICY CRITERIA

- I. Use of the vertical expandable prosthetic titanium rib, including expansion, may be considered **medically necessary** in the treatment of progressive thoracic insufficiency syndrome due to rib and/or chest wall defects in infants/children between six months of age and skeletal maturity.
- II. Use of the vertical expandable prosthetic titanium rib for all other conditions, including but not limited to the treatment of scoliosis in patients without thoracic insufficiency, is considered **investigational**.

NOTE: A summary of the supporting rationale for the policy criteria is at the end of the policy.

LIST OF INFORMATION NEEDED FOR REVIEW

It is critical that the list of information below is submitted for review to determine if the policy

criteria are met. If any of these items are not submitted, it could impact our review and decision outcome.

- History and Physical/Chart Notes
- Documentation of syndrome and evidence of cause including age and skeletal maturity

CROSS REFERENCES

None

BACKGROUND

The vertical expandable prosthetic titanium rib (VEPTR) is a curved rod placed vertically in the chest that helps stabilize and shape the thoracic cavity. It is positioned either between the ribs or between the ribs and either the spine or pelvis. VEPTR may be described as a “rib-based” growth sparing instrumentation, which is compared with “spine-based” growing rods for Cobb angle correction. It is being evaluated for use in skeletally immature patients with thoracic insufficiency syndrome (TIS) and to slow or correct curve progression in pediatric scoliosis patients without TIS. The device is designed to be expanded every four to six months as growth occurs, and also to be replaced if necessary. Some patients require multiple devices.

TIS is the inability of the thorax to support normal respiration or lung growth.^[1] It results from serious defects affecting the ribs or chest wall such as severe scoliosis, rib fusion (which may accompany scoliosis), and various hypoplastic thorax syndromes such as Jeune’s Syndrome and Jarcho-Levin syndrome. Spine, chest, and lung growth are interdependent. While the coexistence of chest wall and spinal deformity is well documented, their effect on lung growth is not completely understood.

Progressive TIS includes respiratory insufficiency, loss of chest wall mobility, worsening three-dimensional thoracic deformity, and/or worsening pulmonary function tests. As a child grows, progressive thoracic deformity and rotation toward the concave side occurs with worsening respiratory compromise. This progression is often accompanied by a need for supplemental oxygen and can require mechanical ventilation. While spinal fusion is one approach to treatment, it may not be successful and may also limit growth (lengthening) of the spine.

Given the complexity of these procedures and patients, implantation of this device should be performed in specialized centers. Preoperative evaluation requires input from pediatric orthopaedists, pulmonologist, and thoracic surgeon. In addition, preoperative evaluation of nutritional, cardiac and pulmonary function (when possible) is required.

REGULATORY STATUS

The Vertical Expandable Prosthetic Titanium Rib (VEPTR) (DePuy Synthes Spine) device received US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approval under a humanitarian device exemption (HDE) for the treatment of TIS in skeletally immature patients. The FDA review defined TIS as, “the inability of the thorax to support normal respiration or lung growth” and created the following categories to aid in the identification of potential TIS patients:

- Flail chest syndrome
- Rib fusion and scoliosis
- Hypoplastic thorax syndrome, including:

- Jeune's syndrome
- Achondroplasia
- Jarcho-Levin syndrome
- Ellis van Creveld syndrome

The FDA review noted that the device should not be used in patients less than six months of age. Skeletal maturity occurs at about age 14 for girls and age 16 for boys.

In 2014, the FDA cleared the Vertical Expandable Prosthetic Titanium Rib (VEPTR OR VEPTR II) through the 510(k) process. The device is indicated for skeletally immature patients with severe, progressive spinal deformities and/or three-dimensional deformity of the thorax associated with or at risk of TIS (defined above). This would include patients with progressive congenital, neuromuscular, idiopathic, or syndromic scoliosis.

The predicate devices listed in the VEPTR 510(k) approval were the Medtronic CD HORIZON® Growth Rod Conversion Set and the Medos Sarl ISOLA® and EXPEDIUM® Growing Spine Systems.

EVIDENCE SUMMARY

The most clinically relevant outcomes include the following:

- The ability of the device to restore and maintain a more normal anatomical shape while allowing for the continued growth of the child until skeletal maturity
- Durability of any beneficial treatment effects
- Safety, including the rate of adverse effects and reoperations

The following is a summary of the current published evidence for the use of VEPTR in the treatment of thoracic insufficiency syndrome (TIS) and scoliosis without TIS.

THORACIC INSUFFICIENCY SYNDROME

The current evidence for the use of VEPTR in the treatment of TIS is limited to data from case series and retrospective reviews.^[2-11] Results from these case series have consistently demonstrated improvement and/or stabilization in key measures with the use of VEPTR in children with TIS, with or without congenital scoliosis. This improvement was noted in measures related to thoracic structure, growth of the thoracic spine and lung volumes, and stable or improved ventilatory status.

In general, conclusions based on data from small case series are uncertain, largely due to the lack of an appropriate comparison group. However, since TIS is a rare disease with limited treatment options, it is unlikely that data from large RCTs will become available. In addition, TIS is a progressive disease with a natural history of worsening deformity, pulmonary function, and pulmonary insufficiency that is unlikely to improve in the absence of intervention. Therefore, the available case series evidence, which consistently reports improved health outcomes, is considered sufficient to conclude that VEPTR may provide a treatment benefit in select patients.

SCOLIOSIS WITHOUT THORACIC INSUFFICIENCY SYNDROME

Bin Majid (2021) reported on 58 patients with congenital scoliosis with or without fused ribs who were treated with VEPTR at a single institution.^[12] Median follow-up was five years (range,

2 to 12 years). Complications were reported in 63.8% of patients. The mean Cobb angle decreased by 22.8% ($p < 0.001$) immediately following VEPTR application. The mean increase in spinal and thoracic height at final follow-up was 46.6 mm (23% increase, $p < 0.001$) and 32 mm (19.3% increase, $p < 0.001$), respectively.

Swarup (2021) evaluated the use of VEPTRs and MCGRs in patients with chest wall deformities and scoliosis secondary to spinal muscular atrophy (SMA).^[13] A total of 66 patients were included, of whom 25% received MCGR implantation, 73% VEPTR implantation, and 2% unknown. Chest wall support (CWS) was added in approximately 23% of cases. From baseline to two years, the average Cobb angle improved from 67° (SD: 27°) to 50° (SD: 26°) in patients with CWS ($p = 0.02$) and from 59° (SD: 20°) to 46° (SD: 15°) in patients without CWS ($p < 0.01$). In patients with CWS, hemithorax height and width significantly improved ($p = 0.01$). In patients without CWS hemithorax height improved ($p = 0.01$) and width did not.

El-Hawary (2017) published results from a prospective multi-center observational cohort study, the Children's Spine Study Group, that evaluated VEPTR insertion outcomes.^[14] The review focused on spine growth and scoliosis progression data from 63 patients preimplant, post implant and at a two-year follow-up. At the two-year follow-up, VEPTR effectively treated patients with early onset scoliosis, without rib abnormalities. Eighty-six percent of the patients had improved scoliosis and 94% had satisfactory spine growth. A five-year follow-up report for this study was published by El-Hawary (2020), which included data for 59 patients.^[15] Of these, 24 patients still had a VEPTR, 24 had converted to different devices or had fusions, three patients had had the VEPTR removed, two were deceased, and six had an unknown status. A subset of 29 VEPTR patients (including five patients that later converted) had five-year follow-up data. The authors reported that, among these 29 patients there was improvement in scoliosis from preoperatively (69.3 ± 14.5 to 61.6 ± 16.1 degrees, $p = 0.006$), and there was mild recurrence postoperatively through five years.

Bachabi (2020) compared VEPTR to growing rods (GR) in patients with idiopathic early-onset scoliosis after five years of follow-up. The study included VEPTR cases ($n = 22$) from the Children's Spine Study Group reported by El-Hawary (2017, 2020) above, along with GR cases ($n = 50$) from the Growing Spine Study Group.^[16] Patients who received VEPTR had significantly more surgical procedures than patients with GR (15 ± 4.2 vs. 10 ± 4.0 , $p = 0.001$), while the GR group exhibited greater curve correction than the VEPTR group (50% vs. 27%, $p < 0.001$), as well as a greater percentage of thoracic height gain (24% vs. 12%, $p = 0.024$)

A study by Chen (2017) compared VEPTR to GR, with a focus on sagittal profile deformity (as opposed to the commonly studied coronal plane deformity).^[17] This retrospective case series included 11 patients who had VEPTR, and 22 patients treated with GR. Similar coronal correction and spinal growth results were seen for both treatments, but GR appeared to be more effective in controlling thoracic kyphosis. The authors also noted higher overall complication rate with VEPTR (72.7%) than with GR (54.5%).

Livingston (2015) published results from a retrospective multicenter national database study that compared the efficacy of VEPTR versus GR patients with neuromuscular scoliosis who had a follow-up for greater than one year.^[18] Twenty-three patients treated with VEPTR and 22 patients with GR were included in the study. The parasol score ($(T6 \text{ convex hemithoracic width} / T6 \text{ concave hemithoracic width}) \times (T6 \text{ thoracic width} / T12 \text{ thoracic width})$) and the assisted ventilation rate (AVR) did not improve after treatment with either the VEPTR or GR.

Farley (2014) compared treatment of congenital scoliosis with VEPTR (n=22) to treatment with spinal fusion (n=27) or observation (n=184) based on a prospective, consecutive, nonrandomized registry.^[19] Patients with non-congenital scoliosis (idiopathic or neuromuscular) or with other vertebral disorders were excluded. Outcomes were measured with the Scoliosis Research Society (SRS-22) questionnaire which includes six domains: total, function, mental health, image, satisfaction, and pain. Compared to the observation group, the VEPTR group had higher total scores and image scores in the second and third visits and higher function scores between the third and fourth visits. A comparison between the fusion and VEPTR groups was not reported. Interpretation of this study is limited due to a number of confounding factors, including age at treatment, unknown comorbidities, and the rationale for the selection of treatment, and baseline questionnaires completed by the parents of younger children. In addition, comorbidities were not included in the study. These outcomes need to be validated in further prospective studies.

White (2011) reported the off-label use of spine-to-spine VEPTR to treat spinal deformity in 14 children without chest wall abnormalities.^[20] The indications for the dual spine-to-spine rods were absence of a primary chest wall deformity, progression of spinal deformity to a Cobb angle of greater than 50 degrees, and migration of a previously placed proximal rib anchor or of a prior non-VEPTR growing rod to the point of loss of stable fixation. At final follow-up (24 to 48 months), there was an improvement in the Cobb angle from 74 to 57 degrees, an increase in T1-S1 height from 260 to 296 mm, and no significant change in kyphosis. Complications occurred in six of 14 patients (43%) and included three rod fractures in two patients, three superficial infections, and one case of prominent hardware that threatened skin integrity. The authors concluded that while results are similar to those obtained with other growing rods, “the high complication rates, need for multiple procedures in growing children, and small relative gains in radiographic parameters still challenge proof of efficacy of all such treatment methods.” Additional trials with more patients and longer duration are needed before conclusions about the safety and effectiveness of the VEPTR device in pediatric patients with spinal deformity, but without TIS, can be made.

ADVERSE EFFECTS

Complications associated with this device need to be considered by practitioners and families. The FDA review and the articles by Campbell (2004) and Emans (2005) have informed the summary on complications arising from VEPTR.^[2, 3, 21] Up to 25% of patients may experience device migration, including rib erosion. Approximately 10% of patients had infection-related complications. Brachial plexus injury or thoracic outlet syndrome occurred in 1% to 7% of these series. Skin sloughing was reported in four (15%) patients in the study by Campbell. Peiro-Garcia (2021) reported complications in 20 patients who received VEPTRs and 15 who received magnetically controlled growing rods (MCGRs) for early onset scoliosis.^[22] The rate of complications was 65% in the VPTR group and 13.3% in the MCGR group (p<0.001). The rate of reoperation at two years was 50% in the VPTR group and 13.3% in the MCGR group (p=0.0009). A case series by Cahill (2020) of 156 patients with early-onset scoliosis treated with rib-based distraction devices reported an infection rate of 22.4%, with most (30/35) requiring irrigation and debridement.^[23] Waldhausen (2016), in a single-center series, reported on device-related complications in 22 of 65 patients treated for TIS over a 13-year period.^[24]

Other adverse effects that have been reported include:^[5, 20, 25-29]

- Implant breakage

- Rib fractures
- Hip joint destabilization
- Perforation of the iliac ala
- Seroma
- Spontaneous ossification (e.g., lumbar spine, rib, iliac crest)
- Tissue reaction to metal
- Esophageal rupture
- Infection

PRACTICE GUIDELINE SUMMARY

No evidence-based clinical practice guidelines were identified which specifically recommend the use of the VEPTR for treatment of TIS or scoliosis in the absence of TIS.

SUMMARY

There is enough research to show that the Vertical Expandable Prosthetic Titanium Rib (VETPR) may improve health outcomes for progressive thoracic insufficiency syndrome (TIS) due to rib and/or chest wall defects in infants/children between six months of age and skeletal maturity. This is a rare disorder with limited treatment alternatives. Therefore, use of the VEPTR device may be considered medically necessary in skeletally immature children at least six months of age with progressive thoracic insufficiency syndrome due to rib and/or chest wall defects.

There is not enough research to show that Vertical Expandable Prosthetic Titanium Rib (VETPR) improves health outcomes for people with any other conditions, including but not limited to the treatment of scoliosis in patients without thoracic insufficiency. No clinical guidelines based on research recommend VEPTR for people with any other conditions. Therefore, use of VEPTR for the treatment of all other indications, including but not limited to the treatment of scoliosis in patients without thoracic insufficiency, is considered investigational.

REFERENCES

1. Campbell RM, Jr., Smith MD, Mayes TC, et al. The characteristics of thoracic insufficiency syndrome associated with fused ribs and congenital scoliosis. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2003;85-A(3):399-408. PMID: 12637423
2. Campbell RM, Jr., Smith MD, Mayes TC, et al. The effect of opening wedge thoracostomy on thoracic insufficiency syndrome associated with fused ribs and congenital scoliosis. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2004;86-A(8):1659-74. PMID: 15292413
3. Emans JB, Caubet JF, Ordonez CL, et al. The treatment of spine and chest wall deformities with fused ribs by expansion thoracostomy and insertion of vertical expandable prosthetic titanium rib: growth of thoracic spine and improvement of lung volumes. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* 2005;30(17 Suppl):S58-68. PMID: 16138067
4. Motoyama EK, Deeney VF, Fine GF, et al. Effects on lung function of multiple expansion thoracoplasty in children with thoracic insufficiency syndrome: a longitudinal study. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* 2006;31(3):284-90. PMID: 16449900

5. Gadepalli SK, Hirschl RB, Tsai WC, et al. Vertical expandable prosthetic titanium rib device insertion: does it improve pulmonary function? *J Pediatr Surg.* 2011;46(1):77-80. PMID: 21238644
6. Waldhausen JH, Redding GJ, Song KM. Vertical expandable prosthetic titanium rib for thoracic insufficiency syndrome: a new method to treat an old problem. *J Pediatr Surg.* 2007;42(1):76-80. PMID: 17208544
7. Skaggs DL, Sankar WN, Albrektson J, et al. Weight gain following vertical expandable prosthetic titanium ribs surgery in children with thoracic insufficiency syndrome. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* 2009;34(23):2530-3. PMID: 19927103
8. Mayer OH, Redding G. Early changes in pulmonary function after vertical expandable prosthetic titanium rib insertion in children with thoracic insufficiency syndrome. *J Pediatr Orthop.* 2009;29(1):35-8. PMID: 19098643
9. Flynn JM, Emans JB, Smith JT, et al. VEPTR to treat nonsyndromic congenital scoliosis: a multicenter, mid-term follow-up study. *J Pediatr Orthop.* 2013;33(7):679-84. PMID: 23812154
10. O'Brien A, Roth MK, Athreya H, et al. Management of Thoracic Insufficiency Syndrome in Patients With Jeune Syndrome Using the 70 mm Radius Vertical Expandable Prosthetic Titanium Rib. *J Pediatr Orthop.* 2015;35(8):783-97. PMID: 25575358
11. Gantner AS, Braunschweig L, Tsaknakis K, et al. Spinal deformity changes in children with long-term vertical expandable prosthetic titanium rib treatment. *The spine journal : official journal of the North American Spine Society.* 2018;18(4):567-74. PMID: 28842365
12. Bin Majid O, Al-Zayed ZS, Alsultan AM, et al. Radiological Outcomes and Complications of Vertical Expandable Titanium Rib Instrumentation in Congenital Scoliosis With or Without Rib Fusion: A Retrospective Study. *Cureus.* 2021;13(3):e14167. PMID: 33936879
13. Swarup I, MacAlpine EM, Mayer OH, et al. Impact of growth friendly interventions on spine and pulmonary outcomes of patients with spinal muscular atrophy. *Eur Spine J.* 2021;30(3):768-74. PMID: 32809150
14. El-Hawary R, Kadhim M, Vitale M, et al. VEPTR Implantation to Treat Children With Early-Onset Scoliosis Without Rib Abnormalities: Early Results From a Prospective Multicenter Study. *J Pediatr Orthop.* 2017;37(8):e599-e605. PMID: 28141685
15. El-Hawary R, Morash K, Kadhim M, et al. VEPTR Treatment of Early Onset Scoliosis in Children Without Rib Abnormalities: Long-term Results of a Prospective, Multicenter Study. *J Pediatr Orthop.* 2020;40(6):e406-e12. PMID: 32501900
16. Bachabi M, McClung A, Pawelek JB, et al. Idiopathic Early-onset Scoliosis: Growing Rods Versus Vertically Expandable Prosthetic Titanium Ribs at 5-year Follow-up. *J Pediatr Orthop.* 2020;40(3):142-48. PMID: 32028476
17. Chen Z, Li S, Qiu Y, et al. Evolution of the postoperative sagittal spinal profile in early-onset scoliosis: is there a difference between rib-based and spine-based growth-friendly instrumentation? *Journal of neurosurgery Pediatrics.* 2017;20(6):561-66. PMID: 28984540
18. Livingston K, Zurakowski D, Snyder B. Parasol Rib Deformity in Hypotonic Neuromuscular Scoliosis: A New Radiographical Definition and a Comparison of Short-term Treatment Outcomes With VEPTR and Growing Rods. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* 2015;40:E780-6. PMID: 26356068
19. Farley FA, Li Y, Jong N, et al. Congenital scoliosis SRS-22 outcomes in children treated with observation, surgery, and VEPTR. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* 2014;39(22):1868-74. PMID: 25099323

20. White KK, Song KM, Frost N, et al. VEPTR growing rods for early-onset neuromuscular scoliosis: feasible and effective. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 2011;469(5):1335-41. PMID: 21213088
21. McPherson R, Pertsemlidis A, Kavastar N, et al. A common allele on chromosome 9 associated with coronary heart disease. *Science*. 2007;316(5830):1488-91. PMID: 17478681
22. Peiro-Garcia A, Bourget-Murray J, Suarez-Lorenzo I, et al. Early Complications in Vertical Expandable Prosthetic Titanium Rib and Magnetically Controlled Growing Rods to Manage Early Onset Scoliosis. *Int J Spine Surg*. 2021;15(2):368-75. PMID: 33900996
23. Cahill PJ, Mahmoud MA, MacAlpine EM, et al. Correlation between surgical site infection and classification of early onset scoliosis (C-EOS) in patients managed by rib-based distraction instrumentation. *Spine deformity*. 2020. PMID: 32232746
24. Waldhausen JH, Redding G, White K, et al. Complications in using the vertical expandable prosthetic titanium rib (VEPTR) in children. *J Pediatr Surg*. 2016;51(11):1747-50. PMID: 27397045
25. Latalski M, Fatyga M, Gregosiewicz A. Problems and complications in VEPTR-based treatment. *Ortopedia, traumatologia, rehabilitacja*. 2011;13(5):449-55. PMID: 22147434
26. van Vendeloo S, Olthof K, Timmerman J, et al. Esophageal rupture in a child after vertical expandable prosthetic titanium rib expansion thoracoplasty: first report of a rare complication. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2011;36(10):E669-72. PMID: 21242867
27. Groenefeld B, Hell AK. Ossifications after VEPTR (vertical expandable prosthetic titanium rib) treatment in children with thoracic insufficiency syndrome and scoliosis. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2013. PMID: 23532122
28. Zivkovic V, Buchler P, Ovadia D, et al. Extraplural ossifications after implantation of vertical expandable prosthetic titanium ribs (VEPTRs). *Journal of children's orthopaedics*. 2014. PMID: 24752718
29. Plaass C, Hasler CC, Heining U, et al. Bacterial colonization of VEPTR implants under repeated expansions in children with severe early onset spinal deformities. *Eur Spine J*. 2016;25:549-56. PMID: 25976015

CODES

Codes	Number	Description
CPT	20999	Unlisted procedure, musculoskeletal system, general
	21899	Unlisted procedure, neck or thorax
	22899	Unlisted procedure, spine
HCPCS	None	

Date of Origin: June 2007