

Medical Policy Manual

Radiology, Policy No. 41

Whole Body Dual X-Ray Absorptiometry (DXA) to Determine Body Composition

Effective: September 1, 2024

Next Review: July 2025 Last Review: July 2024

IMPORTANT REMINDER

Medical Policies are developed to provide guidance for members and providers regarding coverage in accordance with contract terms. Benefit determinations are based in all cases on the applicable contract language. To the extent there may be any conflict between the Medical Policy and contract language, the contract language takes precedence.

PLEASE NOTE: Contracts exclude from coverage, among other things, services or procedures that are considered investigational or cosmetic. Providers may bill members for services or procedures that are considered investigational or cosmetic. Providers are encouraged to inform members before rendering such services that the members are likely to be financially responsible for the cost of these services.

DESCRIPTION

Whole body DXA is used to measure lean tissue mass and total and regional body fat (body composition).

MEDICAL POLICY CRITERIA

Whole body dual x-ray absorptiometry (DXA) to determine body composition is considered **investigational** for all indications.

NOTE: A summary of the supporting rationale for the policy criteria is at the end of the policy.

CROSS REFERENCES

- 1. Whole Body CT Screening, Radiology, Policy No. 40
- 2. <u>Screening for Vertebral Fracture or Fracture Risk with Dual X-ray Absorptiometry (DXA)</u>, Radiology, No. 48

BACKGROUND

Measurements of body composition have been used to study how lean body mass and body fat change during health and disease and have provided a research tool to study the metabolic effects of aging, obesity, and various wasting conditions which may occur with AIDS or post-

bariatric surgery, among others. A variety of techniques have been researched, including most commonly, anthropomorphic measures, bioelectrical impedance, and dual X-ray absorptiometry (DXA or DEXA) scans. All of these techniques are based in part on assumptions regarding the distribution of different body compartments and their density, and all rely on formulas to convert the measured parameter into an estimate of body composition. Therefore, all techniques will introduce variation based on how the underlying assumptions and formulas apply to different populations of subjects, e.g., different age groups, ethnicities, or underlying conditions. Anthropomorphic, bioimpedance, and DXA techniques are briefly reviewed below.

ANTHROPOMORPHIC TECHNIQUES

Anthropomorphic techniques for the estimation of body composition include measurements of skin-fold thickness at various sites, bone dimensions, and limb circumference. These measurements are used in equations to estimate body density and body fat. Due to ease of use, measurements of skin-fold thickness are one of the most used techniques. Estimating body fat using skin-fold measurements is based on the assumption that the subcutaneous adipose layer reflects total body fat, however this association varies with individual factors such as age and gender.

BIOELECTRICAL IMPEDANCE

Bioelectrical impedance is based on the relationship between the volume of the conductor (i.e., the human body), the conductor's length (i.e., height), the components of the conductor (i.e., water, fat and fat-free mass), and its impedance. Estimates of body composition are based on the assumption that the overall conductivity of the human body is closely related to lean tissue. The impedance value is then combined with anthropomorphic data to give body compartment composition measures. The technique involves attaching surface electrodes to various locations on the arm and foot. Alternatively, the patient can stand on pad electrodes.

UNDERWATER WEIGHING

Underwater weighing (UWW) has been considered the reference standard for body composition studies. This technique requires the use of water tank in which the subject is seated on a suspended chair. The subject is then submerged in the water while exhaling. While valued as a research tool, UWW is not suitable for routine clinical use. UWW is based on the assumption that the body can be divided into two compartments with constant densities, (adipose tissue with a density of 0.9gm/cm³ and lean body mass with a density of 1.1g/ cm³. One limitation of the underlying assumption is the variability in density between muscle and bone; for example, bone has a higher density than muscle, and bone mineral density varies with age and other factors. In addition, the density of body fat may vary, depending on the relative components of its constituents, e.g., glycerides, sterols, and glycolipids also affected by individual factors such as age, gender and ethnicity

DUAL ENERGY X-RAY ABSORPTIOMETRY (DXA OR DEXA)

Dual energy X-ray absorptiometry can provide estimates of body composition based on three compartments; fat mass, lean body mass, and bone mass. DXA systems generates X-rays at two energies. The differential attenuation of the two energies is used to estimate the bone mineral content and the soft tissue composition. When two X-ray energies are used, only two tissue compartments can be measured; therefore, soft tissue measurements (i.e., fat and lean

body mass) can only be measured in areas where no bone is present. DXA also has the ability to determine body composition in defined anatomical regions, such as the arms, legs, and trunk. DXA measurements are based in part on the assumption that the hydration of fat-free mass remains constant at 73%. Hydration, however, can vary from 67%–85%, and can be variable in certain disease states. Other assumptions used to derive body composition estimates are considered proprietary by DXA manufacturers (e.g., Lunar, Hologic, and Norland).

REGULATORY STATUS

Body composition software for several bone densitometer systems have been approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration through premarket approval process. This includes Lunar DXA systems (GE Healthcare, Madison, WI), Hologic DXA systems (Hologic, Bedford MA), and Norland DXA systems (Norland Corp., Fort Atkinson, WI), which are commercially available for use in measurement of bone mineral content, estimation of BMD, comparison of measurements with reference databases, estimation of fracture risk, body composition analysis, and measurement of periprosthetic BMD.

Note: DXA for screening for vertebral fracture is addressed separately in the plan's Medical Policy, Radiology, No. 48

EVIDENCE SUMMARY

Several different clinical roles for whole body dual x-ray absorptiometry (DXA or DEXA) scans to assess body composition have been suggested. In order to demonstrate how DXA scans to assess body composition could be used in the clinical setting to guide patient management and improve health outcomes, DXA needs to be compared with the other, simpler techniques for measurement of body composition (e.g., bioelectrical impedance, skin-fold thickness, anthropometric measures) in controlled clinical trials.

DXA AS REFERENCE STANDARD FOR BODY COMPOSITION ASSESSMENT

In general, reference standards for diagnostic tests, often used primarily in research settings, serve to evaluate, and verify the use of simpler and more convenient alternative tests that measure the same diagnostic parameter. For body composition studies, underwater weighing has been historically considered the reference standard. The emergence of DXA as a potential new reference standard reflects its ease of use and the fact that it provides a 3-compartment model of body density, i.e., lean body mass, bone mass, and fat mass, compared to the 2compartment model of underwater weighing. More recently, a 4-compartment model has been suggested as the reference standard, consisting of measurements of bone/mineral, protein, water, and fat. Studies to evaluate different techniques of measuring the same parameter typically consist of correlation studies that compare values between the two techniques. However, correlation studies do not provide information on which diagnostic technique more closely represents the true value. For example, a lack of correlation between DXA and underwater weighing may reflect the lack of accuracy of underwater weighing, as opposed to any deficiency in the DXA technique. Furthermore, two diagnostic techniques may be highly correlated but produce different values of body composition. For example, compared to underwater weighing, DXA may identify different groups of patients as abnormal and normal.

There is extensive literature comparing DXA to other techniques for assessing body composition, most commonly underwater weighing, bioelectrical impedance, or skin-fold

thickness in different populations of patients with differing age groups, ethnicities, and underlying disorders.^[1-18] In general, these studies have shown that DXA is highly correlated to various methods of body composition assessment. Detailed review of this extensive literature is beyond the scope of this discussion; however, it is apparent that many authors would consider a DXA body composition study the reference standard. For example, in various research studies, the results of DXA body composition have been included as an intermediate outcome in studies of nutrition and various metabolic disorders.^[19-27]

An updated search of the current literature found that dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry continues to be used as the reference standard for whole body composition analysis in research studies. Active research areas include comparison of established clinical measures of body composition (body mass index or BMI, anthropomorphic measurements, and bioelectrical impedance analysis) with this "gold standard" and improvement of equations for more accurate clinical assessment of lean and fat body mass.^[28] Regardless of whether a DXA scan is considered the reference standard, the key consideration regarding its routine clinical use is whether the results of the scan can be used in the management of the patient to improve health outcomes.

DXA AS A DIAGNOSTIC TEST TO DETECT ABNORMAL BODY COMPOSITION

As a single diagnostic measure, it is important to establish diagnostic cutoff points for normal and abnormal values. This is problematic, since normal values will require the development of normative databases for the different components of body composition (bone, fat, and lean mass) for different populations of patients at different ages. In terms of measuring bone mineral density, normative databases have largely focused on postmenopausal white women, and these values cannot necessarily be extrapolated to either men or to different races. DXA determinations of bone mineral density are primarily used for fracture risk assessment in postmenopausal women and to select candidates for various pharmacological therapies to reduce fracture risk. In addition to the uncertainties of establishing normal values for other components of body composition, it also is unclear how a single measure of body composition would be used in the medical management of the patient.

DXA AS A TECHNIQUE TO MONITOR CHANGES IN BODY COMPOSITION

Changes in body composition over time may provide useful information. The ability to detect changes is related in part to the precision of the technique, defined as the degree to which repeated measurements of the same variable give the same value. For example, DXA measurements of bone mass are thought to have a precision error of 1%–3%, and given the slow rate of change in bone mineral density in postmenopausal women treated for osteoporosis, it is likely that DXA scans would only be able to detect a significant change in bone mineral density in the typical patients after two years of therapy. Of course, changes in body composition are anticipated to be larger and more rapid than changes in bone mineral density in postmenopausal women; therefore, precision errors in DXA scans become less critical in interpreting results. Many studies have used DXA to monitor changes in body composition, and the precision is similar to that estimated for DXA measurements of bone mineral density. While measuring changes in body composition is widely used in athletes for training purposes, it is still unclear how monitoring changes in body composition could be used in the medical management of the patient.

DXA measurements of body mass continue to be included as outcomes measures in various trials, frequently focusing on HIV-associated lipodystrophy.^[29-32] With regard to patient

management, a few reports suggested that DXA may have clinical utility in certain conditions such as; for diagnosis of lipodystrophy in patients with HIV, for predicting metabolic insulin sensitivity in older men and women, for characterizing changes in body composition during chemotherapy for head and neck cancer^[33], for predicting glomerular filtration rate in dialysis patients^[34-37], assessing changes in fat mass and bone mineral density in renal transplant subjects^[38], for assessing clinical outcomes in patients with pancreatitis^[39] for assessing the impact of bone health related to obesity in patients with acute lymphoblastic leukemia ^[40], for assessing sarcopenia and obesity in patients with myasthenia gravis^[41] and for assessing the association of body composition and functional motor assessments in patients with Becker^[42] or facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy.^[43] Research in these specific clinical applications of DXA is at an early stage and studies have not shown if use of this test in clinical care improves health outcomes.

PRACTICE GUIDELINE SUMMARY

U.S. PREVENTIVE SERVICES TASK FORCE^[44]

The 2014 U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) Guide to Clinical Preventive Services addresses DXA only for measurements of the hip and lumbar spine for osteoporosis screening. The guide does not address DXA for body composition.

ACADEMY OF NUTRITION AND DIETETICS (AND)^[45]

In 2010, the AND issued HIV/AIDS evidence-based nutrition practice guidelines. The society recommended the use of dual x-ray absorptiometry (DXA) as one of several tests included in an initial dietitian assessment. A grade I and II recommendation was given to the following statement:

"(M)easurements of body compartment estimates should also be included, such as circumference measurements (mid-arm muscle, waist, hip, and waist-to-hip ratio) or measurements of body cell mass and body fat (measured with dual energy x-ray absorptiometry [DXA], bioelectrical impedance analysis [BIA], bioimpedance spectroscopy or skinfold thickness measurements). Baseline anthropometric measurements provide information for the nutrition assessment and the majority of research in men, women, children and adolescents reports that fat-free mass and fat mass are altered in people with HIV infection."

Although the evidence used to support the AND recommendation was graded as good/strong (grade I) and fair (grade II), supportive studies were not cited within the published guideline, precluding a review or analysis of the evidence used to establish the AND's recommended use of DXA in patients with HIV/AIDS.

SUMMARY

There is not enough research to show that whole body dual x-ray absorptiometry (DXA) to determine body composition improves health outcomes. No clinical guidelines based on research recommend using whole body DXA to determine body composition. Therefore, whole body DXA to determine body composition is considered investigational for all indications.

REFERENCES

- 1. Prior BM, Cureton KJ, Modlesky CM, et al. In vivo validation of whole body composition estimates from dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry. *J Appl Physiol.* 1997;83(2):623-30. PMID: 9262461
- 2. Salamone LM, Fuerst T, Visser M, et al. Measurement of fat mass using DEXA: a validation study in elderly adults. *J Appl Physiol.* 2000;89(1):345-52. PMID: 10904070
- 3. Kohrt WM. Preliminary evidence that DEXA provides an accurate assessment of body composition. *J Appl Physiol.* 1998;84(1):372-7. PMID: 9451659
- 4. Laskey MA. Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry and body composition. *Nutrition.* 1996;12(1):45-51. PMID: 8838836
- 5. Lane JT, Mack-Shipman LR, Anderson JC, et al. Comparison of CT and dual-energy DEXA using a modified trunk compartment in the measurement of abdominal fat. *Endocrine*. 2005;27(3):295-9. PMID: 16230787
- Buison AM, Ittenbach RF, Stallings VA, et al. Methodological agreement between twocompartment body-composition methods in children. *Am J Hum Biol.* 2006;18(4):470-80. PMID: 16788892
- 7. Elkan AC, Engvall IL, Tengstrand B, et al. Malnutrition in women with rheumatoid arthritis is not revealed by clinical anthropometrical measurements or nutritional evaluation tools. *Eur J Clin Nutr.* 2008;62(10):1239-47. PMID: 17637600
- Forrester JE, Sheehan HM, Joffe TH. A validation study of body composition by bioelectrical impedance analysis in human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-positive and HIV-negative Hispanic men and women. *J Am Diet Assoc.* 2008;108(3):534-8. PMID: 18313436
- 9. Jebb SA, Siervo M, Murgatroyd PR, et al. Validity of the leg-to-leg bioimpedance to estimate changes in body fat during weight loss and regain in overweight women: a comparison with multi-compartment models. *Int J Obes (Lond).* 2007;31(5):756-62. PMID: 17060926
- 10. Bruni V, Dei M, Morelli C, et al. Body composition variables and leptin levels in functional hypothalamic amenorrhea and amenorrhea related to eating disorders. *J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol.* 2011;24:347-52. PMID: 21906977
- Siqueira Vassimon H, Jordao AA, Albuquerque de Paula FJ, et al. Comparison of bioelectrical impedance with skinfold thickness and X-ray absorptiometry to measure body composition in HIV-infected with lipodistrophy. *Nutricion hospitalaria : organo* oficial de la Sociedad Espanola de Nutricion Parenteral y Enteral. 2011;26(3):458-64. PMID: 21892561
- 12. Alves FD, Souza GC, Biolo A, et al. Comparison of two bioelectrical impedance devices and dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry to evaluate body composition in heart failure. *Journal of human nutrition and dietetics : the official journal of the British Dietetic Association.* 2014;27(6):632-8. PMID: 24684316
- 13. Ziai S, Coriati A, Chabot K, et al. Agreement of bioelectric impedance analysis and dualenergy X-ray absorptiometry for body composition evaluation in adults with cystic fibrosis. *Journal of cystic fibrosis : official journal of the European Cystic Fibrosis Society.* 2014;13(5):585-8. PMID: 24522087
- 14. Kim M, Shinkai S, Murayama H, et al. Comparison of segmental multifrequency bioelectrical impedance analysis with dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry for the assessment of body composition in a community-dwelling older population. *Geriatrics & gerontology international.* 2014. PMID: 25345548

- 15. Jensen NS, Camargo TF, Bergamaschi DP. Comparison of methods to measure body fat in 7-to-10-year-old children: a systematic review. *Public health.* 2016;133:3-13. PMID: 26774698
- 16. Tompuri TT, Lakka TA, Hakulinen M, et al. Assessment of body composition by dualenergy X-ray absorptiometry, bioimpedance analysis and anthropometrics in children: the Physical Activity and Nutrition in Children study. *Clinical physiology and functional imaging.* 2015;35(1):21-33. PMID: 24325400
- 17. Ashby-Thompson M, Heshka S, Rizkalla B, et al. Validity of dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry for estimation of visceral adipose tissue and visceral adipose tissue change after surgery-induced weight loss in women with severe obesity. *Obesity (Silver Spring).* 2022;30(5):1057-65. PMID: 35384351
- 18. Smoot BJ, Mastick J, Shepherd J, et al. Use of Dual-Energy X-Ray Absorptiometry to Assess Soft Tissue Composition in Breast Cancer Survivors With and Without Lymphedema. *Lymphat Res Biol.* 2022;20(4):391-97. PMID: 34793255
- 19. Smith SR, Lovejoy JC, Greenway F, et al. Contributions of total body fat, abdominal subcutaneous adipose tissue compartments, and visceral adipose tissue to the metabolic complications of obesity. *Metabolism.* 2001;50(4):425-35. PMID: 11288037
- 20. Vendrely B, Chauveau P, Barthe N, et al. Nutrition in hemodialysis patients previously on a supplemented very low protein diet. *Kidney Int.* 2003;63(4):1491-8. PMID: 12631366
- 21. van den Ham EC, Kooman JP, Christiaans ML, et al. The influence of early steroid withdrawal on body composition and bone mineral density in renal transplantation patients. *Transpl Int.* 2003;16(2):82-7. PMID: 12595969
- 22. Smith DE, Hudson J, Martin A, et al. Centralized assessment of dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) in multicenter studies of HIV-associated lipodystrophy. *HIV Clin Trials.* 2003;4(1):45-9. PMID: 12577196
- 23. Kamimura MA, Avesani CM, Cendoroglo M, et al. Comparison of skinfold thicknesses and bioelectrical impedance analysis with dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry for the assessment of body fat in patients on long-term haemodialysis therapy. *Nephrol Dial Transplant.* 2003;18(1):101-5. PMID: 12480966
- 24. Arabmotlagh M, Rittmeister M, Hennigs T. Alendronate prevents femoral periprosthetic bone loss following total hip arthroplasty: prospective randomized double-blind study. *J Orthop Res.* 2006;24(7):1336-41. PMID: 16705719
- 25. Garcia Aparicio AM, Munoz Fernandez S, Gonzalez J, et al. Abnormalities in the bone mineral metabolism in HIV-infected patients. *Clin Rheumatol.* 2006;25(4):537-9. PMID: 16208429
- 26. Sulistyoningrum DC, Green TJ, Lear SA, et al. Ethnic-specific differences in vitamin D status is associated with adiposity. *PloS one.* 2012;7(8):e43159. PMID: 22952641
- 27. Kogure GS, Piccki FK, Vieira CS, et al. [Analysis of muscle strength and body composition of women with polycystic ovary syndrome]. *Revista brasileira de ginecologia e obstetricia : revista da Federacao Brasileira das Sociedades de Ginecologia e Obstetricia.* 2012;34(7):316-22. PMID: 22948504
- 28. Yavari R, McEntee E, McEntee M, et al. Anthropometric variables accurately predict dual energy x-ray absorptiometric-derived body composition and can be used to screen for diabetes. *PloS one.* 2011;6:e24017. PMID: 21915276
- 29. Moran SA, Patten N, Young JR, et al. Changes in body composition in patients with severe lipodystrophy after leptin replacement therapy. *Metabolism.* 2004;53(4):513-9. PMID: 15045701

- 30. Carr A, Law M. An objective lipodystrophy severity grading scale derived from the lipodystrophy case definition score. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr.* 2003;33(5):571-6. PMID: 12902800
- 31. Cavalcanti RB, Raboud J, Shen S, et al. A randomized, placebo-controlled trial of rosiglitazone for HIV-related lipoatrophy. *J Infect Dis.* 2007;195(12):1754-61. PMID: 17492590
- 32. Podzamczer D, Ferrer E, Sanchez P, et al. Less lipoatrophy and better lipid profile with abacavir as compared to stavudine: 96-week results of a randomized study. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr.* 2007;44(2):139-47. PMID: 17106274
- 33. Jackson W, Alexander N, Schipper M, et al. Characterization of changes in total body composition for patients with head and neck cancer undergoing chemoradiotherapy using dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry. *Head & neck.* 2013. PMID: 23970480
- 34. Bonnet E, Delpierre C, Sommet A, et al. Total body composition by DXA of 241 HIVnegative men and 162 HIV-infected men: proposal of reference values for defining lipodystrophy. *J Clin Densitom.* 2005;8(3):287-92. PMID: 16055958
- 35. Lee CC, Glickman SG, Dengel DR, et al. Abdominal adiposity assessed by dual energy X-ray absorptiometry provides a sex-independent predictor of insulin sensitivity in older adults. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci.* 2005;60(7):872-7. PMID: 16079210
- 36. Taylor TP, Wang W, Shrayyef MZ, et al. Glomerular filtration rate can be accurately predicted using lean mass measured by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry. *Nephrol Dial Transplant.* 2006;21(1):84-7. PMID: 16115844
- 37. Segatto AF, Freitas IF, Jr., Dos Santos VR, et al. Indices of body fat distribution for assessment of lipodysthrophy in people living with HIV/AIDS. *BMC research notes*. 2012;5:543. PMID: 23031203
- Kinsella S, Murphy K, Breen M, et al. Comparison of single CT scan assessment of bone mineral density, vascular calcification and fat mass with standard clinical measurements in renal transplant subjects: the ABC HeART study. *BMC Nephrol.* 2015;16:188. PMID: 26558994
- 39. Dawra S, Gupta P, Yadav N, et al. Association between the Distribution of Adipose Tissue and Outcomes in Acute Pancreatitis: A Comparison of Methods of Fat Estimation. *Indian J Radiol Imaging.* 2023;33(1):12-18. PMID: 36855725
- 40. Barr RD, Inglis D, Athale U, et al. The Influence of Body Composition on Bone Health in Long-term Survivors of Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia in Childhood and Adolescence: Analyses by Dual-energy Radiograph Absorptiometry and Peripheral Quantitative Computed Tomography. *J Pediatr Hematol Oncol.* 2022;44(8):423-31. PMID: 35482464
- 41. Chang CC, Chen YK, Chiu HC, et al. Assessment of Sarcopenia and Obesity in Patients with Myasthenia Gravis Using Dual-Energy X-ray Absorptiometry: A Cross-Sectional Study. *J Pers Med.* 2021;11(11). PMID: 34834491
- 42. Barp A, Carraro E, Goggi G, et al. Body composition and myokines in a cohort of patients with Becker muscular dystrophy. *Muscle Nerve.* 2022;66(1):63-70. PMID: 35474226
- 43. Wang LH, Leung DG, Wagner KR, et al. Lean tissue mass measurements by dualenergy X-ray absorptiometry and associations with strength and functional outcome measures in facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy. *Neuromuscul Disord.* 2023;33(9):63-68. PMID: 37400350
- 44. The Guide to Clinical Preventive Services 2014: Recommendations of the US Preventive Services Task Force. 2014. PMID: 25144048

45. Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics (AND). HIV/AIDS evidence-based nutrition practice guideline. [cited 07/15/2024]. 'Available from:' https://www.andeal.org/topic.cfm?cat=4248.

CODES

NOTE: There is no specific code for whole body DXA. The appropriate code for reporting this service is 76499.

Codes	Number	Description
CPT	76499	Unlisted diagnostic radiographic procedure
HCPCS	None	

Date of Origin: December 2003